

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

EDWIN VELEZ,

Plaintiff,

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, et al.

Defendants.

Case Number 3:21-cv-00197-ART-CSD

ORDER SETTING MANDATORY VIDEO CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, et al,

Defendants.

The court has now screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a) and ordered the case to proceed. In order to ensure the just, speedy, and cost-effective resolution of this action, the court orders the parties to attend a mandatory telephonic case management conference as described more fully below. No discovery may proceed until the court enters a full scheduling order.

I. Mandatory Video Case Management Conference

A video case management conference utilizing Zoom video conferencing technology is set before this Court on **MONDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.** The parties are directed to contact the Courtroom Administrator, Ashlyn Bye, at Ashlyn_Bye@nvd.uscourts.gov, by noon on **FRIDAY, DECEMBER 20, 2024**, to provide the e-mail address of all counsel who will be attending the hearing. Further, counsel shall make the necessary arrangements for the Plaintiff's video appearance at this conference and shall provide the Courtroom Administrator with the information to connect the Plaintiff by video. The Zoom invite to the scheduled hearing will be sent via e-mail at least one (1) day prior to the hearing to the participants e-mail provided to the Court.

1 II. Case Management Report

2 In advance of the mandatory case management conference, each party shall file a case
3 management report no later than **MONDAY, DECEMBER 23, 2024**.

4 The case management report must not exceed **5 pages** and must not include any attached
5 exhibits. Each party's case management report must include the following information in
6 separately numbered paragraphs as designated below:

7 1) A brief statement of the nature of the case, including a brief description of the claims
8 and defenses;

9 2) The location of potentially relevant documents;

10 3) The discovery each party intends to take, if any;

11 4) Any options or methods for the streamlining and/or bifurcation of discovery or the
12 litigation;

13 5) Whether the defense of failure to exhaust administrative remedies may apply to any
14 claim(s) and whether that defense, if successful, would be dispositive of the entire case or certain
15 claims in the litigation. If a defense of failure to exhaust administrative remedies will be raised,
16 address whether any discovery needs to be conducted as to that defense only, and if so, what
17 discovery is required, and provide an estimate of how long the parties need to complete this
18 discovery;

19 6) Whether the party intends to use expert witnesses;

20 7) Whether the party intends to proceed by consent before the magistrate judge.

21 Presently, when a civil trial is set before the district judges, any criminal trial set that conflicts
22 with the civil trial will take priority, even if the civil trial was set first. Continuances of civil
23 trials under these circumstances may no longer be entertained, absent good cause, but the civil

1 trial may instead trail from day to day or week to week until the completion of either the criminal
2 case or an older civil case. The parties are advised that they are free to withhold consent or
3 decline magistrate jurisdiction without adverse substantive consequences; and


4 8) Any immediate or ongoing issues or requests that require the court's immediate
5 attention or that should be brought to the court's attention.

6 **III. Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order**

7 Following the case management conference, the court will issue a discovery plan and
8 scheduling order (DPSO). Once issued, the dates in the DPSO are firm and no extension will be
9 given without permission from the court based on a showing of good cause.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 Dated: November 26, 2024


12 _____
13 Craig S. Denney
United States Magistrate Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23